

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
EASTERN DISTRICT**

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, | : | No. 124 EAL 2016 |
| | : | |
| Respondent | : | |
| | : | Petition for Allowance of Appeal from |
| v. | : | the Order of the Superior Court |
| | : | |
| | : | |
| LEON MILLS, | : | |
| | : | |
| Petitioner | : | |

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 19th day of July, 2016, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is
GRANTED. The issue, as stated by Petitioner, is:

Did not the trial court properly grant [P]etitioner's motion to dismiss pursuant to Pa.R.Crim.P. 600, where the time from a scheduling conference to a status listing consisted of time attributable to the conventional progression of a criminal case and was not judicial "delay," and was therefore correctly included in the calculation of the 365 days in which to bring [P]etitioner to trial, and thus where more than 365 days elapsed before [P]etitioner was brought to trial?